

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,092	07/30/2003	Hai H. Trieu	4002-3367/PC477.02	8485	
7590 06/29/2005			EXAMINER		
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP			CAIN, EDWARD J		
Bank One Center/Tower		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	Suite 3700 111 Monument Circle		1714		
Indianapolis, IN	N 46204-5137		DATE MAILED: 06/29/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_	\int_{Ω}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>	η
	10/630,092	TRIEU ET AL		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Edward J. Cain	1714		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ad	dress	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailie earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' te, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel THS from the mailing date of this c ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
·	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the	e merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to be	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	` '	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	ГО-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	nts have been received. Its have been received in A	pplication No	Stane	
application from the International Bure	-	room ou in time reasonal	Olugo	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , ,	received.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT0	D-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		•	

Application/Control Number: 10/630,092

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-16, 19-24 and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Webster et al.

Webster et al disclose polymer/ceramic composites for producing orthopedic/dental implants and adhesives. These compositions are taught as comprising any of a variety of polymers and ceramic materials meeting the limitations of the instant claims.

Therefore the reference anticipates the rejected claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17, 18 and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Webster et al.

Webster et al disclose compositions as discussed above. The reference fails to explicitly recite applicants' claimed intervertebral disc implant or a method of stabilizing a spine.

Art Unit: 1714

It is the position of the examiner that the recitation by the reference of orthopedic applications renders obvious the use of these implants and compositions in spinal applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:0 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward J. Cain Primary Examiner Art Unit 17/14